

# Open Research Online

---

The Open University's repository of research publications and other research outputs

## New mass increase beneath Askja volcano, Iceland – a precursor to renewed activity?

### Journal Item

How to cite:

Rymer, Hazel; Locke, Corinne; Ófeigsson, Benedikt G.; Einarsson, Páll and Sturkell, Erik (2010). New mass increase beneath Askja volcano, Iceland – a precursor to renewed activity? *Terra Nova*, 22(4) pp. 309–313.

For guidance on citations see [FAQs](#).

© 2010 Blackwell Publishing Ltd



<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nd/4.0/>

Version: Accepted Manuscript

Link(s) to article on publisher's website:

<http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1111/j.1365-3121.2010.00948.x>

---

Copyright and Moral Rights for the articles on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. For more information on Open Research Online's data [policy](#) on reuse of materials please consult the policies page.

---

[oro.open.ac.uk](http://oro.open.ac.uk)

1                    New mass increase beneath Askja volcano, Iceland – a precursor to renewed activity?

2    Hazel Rymer<sup>a</sup>, Corinne Locke<sup>b</sup>, Benedikt G. Ófeigsson<sup>c</sup>, Páll Einarsson<sup>c</sup> and Erik Sturkell<sup>c,d</sup>

3    a. Dept Earth & Environmental Sciences, The Open University, Walton Hall, Milton Keynes, MK7 6AA,

4    UK

5    b. Geology and Environmental Science, The University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand

6    c. Nordic Volcanological Center, Institute of Earth Sciences, University of Iceland, Reykjavik, Iceland

7    d. Department of Earth Sciences, University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden

## 8 **Abstract**

9 Askja is an active central volcano located on the NS trending en echelon rift zone marking the mid-  
10 Atlantic plate boundary in North Iceland. Between 2007 and 2009, we observed a gravity increase at  
11 the centre of the caldera. This contrasts with net gravity decreases recorded between 1988 and  
12 2007 interpreted previously in terms of magma drainage. The recent gravity increase is rapid but  
13 similar in terms of lateral extent to the preceding decrease. This gravity increase corresponds to a  
14 sub-surface mass increase of  $0.68 \times 10^{11}$  kg at about 3 km depth. It is possible that the new gravity  
15 increases observed at Askja reflect accumulation of magma beneath the caldera and thus may  
16 herald a new phase in the activity at this volcano which last erupted in 1961.

## 17 **Introduction**

18 Askja caldera in the rift zone of North Iceland (Figure 1) has been in a state of unrest for decades.  
19 The latest major rifting episode in the Askja volcanic system occurred from 1874 to 1876 and  
20 included a series of dyke injections, basaltic fissure eruptions and an explosive rhyolite eruption  
21 associated with formation of the youngest nested caldera, Öskjuvatn. The largest fissure eruptions of  
22 the episode occurred in the Sveinagja fissure swarm, 40-70 km north of Askja caldera, and it has  
23 been suggested (Sigurdsson and Sparks, 1978) that lateral magma drainage from a reservoir beneath  
24 Askja caldera induced the caldera collapse. The next eruptive period took place from 1921 to 1929,  
25 and ended with a small eruption in the early 1930s. During this episode, a fissure opened to the  
26 south of the caldera and several minor effusive eruptions occurred around the 1875 caldera margin.  
27 The most recent eruption began in October 1961 and continued into early December of the same  
28 year. An E-W trending fissure opened close to the northern rim of the main caldera and lava flowed  
29 inside and outside the main caldera (Thórarinnsson and Sigvaldason, 1962).

30 Askja has displayed a significant rate of ground deformation during the past decades (Sturkell et al.,  
31 2006a). The deformation has been recorded by various methods for the 40 years since the last  
32 eruption. Early work used precise levelling and later EDM measurements (Tryggvason 1989); more

33 recently deformation has been monitored by GPS and InSAR (Sturkell et al., 2006b). Although there  
34 are differences in the models used to interpret the measurements, they do show a consistent  
35 general picture of the nature of the deformation. The movements are significantly greater than the  
36 error resulting from combining results from the four types of survey (<2cm vertical over whole  
37 period). The deformation field in the first decade following the 1961 eruption was characterized by  
38 rapid inflation (Tryggvason 1989). This inflation changed to slower deflation, apparently beginning  
39 around 1973. Deflation throughout the last 35 years has been at an average rate of 3-5 cm/yr at the  
40 centre of the caldera, decreasing towards the margins (Sturkell and Sigmundsson, 2000, Sturkell et  
41 al., 2006a). Our most recent data indicate that while the greatest deflation continues to be at the  
42 centre of the caldera, the average rate of deflation at the centre has decreased to approximately  
43  $2.5 \pm 0.7 \text{ cm yr}^{-1}$  relative to a point outside the caldera (Figure 2). About 80% of the observed  
44 deformation has been consistent with a pressure decrease at two sources, one located at 1.5-3 km  
45 depth and a second source approximately 16 km deep (Rymer and Tryggvason, 1993, Sturkell et al.  
46 2006a, 2006b, Pagli et al. 2007). Whilst the validity of using the Mogi model to interpret volcano  
47 deformation is questioned by Masterlark (2007), it can precisely describe a data population, as  
48 shown by Sturkell et al. (2006a)

49 At Askja, a gravity network covering the caldera itself and the 1961 lava flow outside the caldera has  
50 been reoccupied in the summer months for most of the period 1985 to the present. After correction  
51 for any height changes across the network, net gravity changes reflect sub-surface mass changes.

52 Net gravity decreases measured at Askja between 1988 and 2003 have been attributed previously to  
53 a combination of factors. These factors are i) cooling/contraction of magma in a shallow (~3 km)  
54 magma chamber (Rymer and Tryggvason, 1993; Sturkell and Sigmundsson, 2000); ii) void  
55 compaction of the underlying material (Rymer and Tryggvasson 1993) and iii) magma drainage from  
56 a shallow magma chamber (de Zeeuw-van Dalssen et al., 2005). Here we present new data that  
57 suggest this trend of gravity decreases has now reversed.

58 Over the period that Askja has been sinking, two other regions, one to the north and the other to the  
59 south of Askja have been rising. Grímsvötn to the south of Askja erupted beneath Vatnajökull icecap  
60 in 1998 and 2004, and Krafla to the north, erupted between 1975 and 1984 (e.g. Buck et al., 2006).  
61 These large central volcanoes in Iceland are linked by a continuous plate boundary and all have  
62 broadly NS trending fissure swarms associated with them and it is possible that magma migrates  
63 underground along these fissures (Saemundsson 1978, Einarsson 2008). It has been suggested that  
64 pressure change at one of the volcanoes may influence the others, although from geochemical  
65 evidence their magma systems are not materially connected (Tryggvason 1989, Einarsson 1991,  
66 Sturkell and Sigmundsson 2000). Mechanical interactions between the eight central volcanoes  
67 immediately south of Askja have been proposed (Gudmundsson and Andrew, 2007, Andrew and  
68 Gudmundsson, 2008).

69 Persistent microearthquake activity has been detected in the Askja area for more than three  
70 decades (Einarsson 1991, Jakobsdóttir 2008). Seismicity in the eastern part of the Askja caldera  
71 relates to hydrothermal activity (Einarsson and Sæmundsson, 1987; Einarsson, 1991) while the  
72 source of long term seismicity to the immediate NE of Askja through Herdubreid reflects plate  
73 boundary deformation and slip along regional faults (Sturkell and Sigmundsson, 2000;  
74 Þorbjarnardóttir et al., 2007; Sousalu et al., 2009). Recent work reveals deep (10-34 km) earthquakes  
75 (Soosalu et al, 2009, Knox, 2007; Jakobsdóttir et al., 2008) in a region just immediately north of  
76 Askja, in a zone through which magma would need to travel if it were to migrate through the Askja  
77 fissure swarm.

#### 78 **New gravity changes at Askja**

79 The microgravity network at Askja comprises stations which are grouped according to location:  
80 caldera centre, northern and southeastern (Figure 1c). The data are expressed with respect to a  
81 reference point just outside the caldera (near Öskjuop in Figure 1c) and the gravity changes through  
82 time are expressed relative to an arbitrary datum (the values measured in 1988). Long term stability

83 of the reference point was monitored through links with a regional network. The caldera centre  
84 stations are located in the region of maximum deformation whereas the northern and southeastern  
85 stations are more peripheral to the deformation.

86 Building on the uniquely long microgravity time series for Askja volcano (Rymer and Tryggvason,  
87 1993, de Zeeuw-van Dalssen et al., 2005), new data presented here reveal a reversal in trend after  
88 19 years. The microgravity time series for the 3 locations is shown in Figure 3. The raw gravity  
89 changes after correction for Earth Tides and instrumental effects (Rymer, 1989) are shown by  
90 symbols joined by solid lines. The uncertainty on these data is estimated to be  $\pm 15 \mu\text{Gal}$  (Rymer and  
91 Tryggvason, 1993) where  $1 \mu\text{Gal} = 10^{-8} \text{ms}^{-2}$ . At the caldera centre location, the raw measurements  
92 show a modest overall increase of about  $40 \mu\text{Gal}$  between 1988 and 2003 (Figure 3). This increase  
93 continued through to 2007 at about the same rate. Between 2007 and 2009, raw gravity increased  
94 by about  $60 \mu\text{Gal}$  at a substantially greater rate than observed for the previous 19 years. Thus raw  
95 gravity has increased in the period 2007-9 by almost as much as it has throughout the previous 19  
96 years. Over the same time period, deflation has persisted.

97 In order to interpret gravity data in terms of sub-surface mass changes, the effects of deformation  
98 on the gravity must first be corrected for. Corrections are usually made using the theoretical free air  
99 gradient (FAG  $= -308.6 \mu\text{Gal m}^{-1}$ ); measured FAG values at Askja are  $-360$ ,  $-310$  and  $-240 \mu\text{Gal m}^{-1}$  in  
100 the centre, northern and southeastern locations respectively (Rymer and Trggvasson 1993). As the  
101 average of these is very close to the theoretical gradient and given the uncertainty in these  
102 measurements (LaFehr, 1991), the theoretical value was used here. Net gravity changes were  
103 calculated using the theoretical FAG and the observed deflation for 1993-2008 in the caldera centre  
104 (Sturkell et al., 2006a and Figure 2). Prior to 1992 an average deflation value of  $-0.04 \text{m yr}^{-1}$  was  
105 used, based on the Mogi model interpolation of de Zeeuw-van Dalssen et al., (2005).  
106 Notwithstanding the limitations of the Mogi model in terms of crustal layering and heterogeneities,  
107 where there are observations, the Mogi model fits the data to within 8 mm (Sturkell et al. 2006a).

108 Deflation in the northern and southeastern areas is approximately equal and considerably less than  
109 at the centre (fitting the Mogi model closely; de Zeeuw-van Dalssen et al., 2005). The values  
110 observed by Sturkell et al., (2006a) have been used for both of these locations.

111 After correction for elevation changes, net gravity for the caldera centre location decreases between  
112 1988 and 1995 by  $110 \pm 20$   $\mu\text{Gal}$  (Figure 3). Between 1995 and 2007, the rate of net gravity decrease  
113 flattens off. For the period 2007-8 however, the net gravity decrease reverses and becomes an  
114 increase of about  $50 \pm 20$   $\mu\text{Gal}$ . No elevation data for 2009 are yet available; however the raw gravity  
115 data for 2009 confirms the marked increase since 2007.

116 For the southeastern caldera group, raw gravity data remain fairly stable between 1988 and 1997  
117 and then increase by about  $60 \pm 20$   $\mu\text{Gal}$  until 2003 and subsequently decrease slightly. After  
118 correction for the observed deformation, this group oscillate about zero net gravity change. For the  
119 northern caldera group, raw gravity data reveal only minor variations of less than  $40 \pm 20$   $\mu\text{Gal}$   
120 throughout the entire period of study. After correcting for deformation, the net gravity changes are  
121 even smaller and for this group, mainly within the  $\pm 20$   $\mu\text{Gal}$  error.

122 Thus after correcting for the known sources of gravity change, there are significant net gravity  
123 changes at the caldera centre station group, but net changes at the other stations are barely  
124 significant. The long time series provides a means to identify the sub-surface processes responsible  
125 for these gravity changes and the rate of these processes. However with measurements made once  
126 a year, only integrated annual effects are resolved; no information on shorter term variations is  
127 provided.

### 128 **Mass movement at Askja volcano**

129 Given that the gravity changes are focussed at the caldera centre, a simple point source model is  
130 used to estimate the change in sub-surface mass. Assuming this mass change occurs at a similar  
131 depth to the 3km deep source located below the NW shore of Öskjuvatn lake modelled from the

132 elevation data (Sturkell et al., 2006a), for the period 1988 to 1995, the mass change is approximately  
133  $1.6 \pm 0.3 \times 10^{11}$  kg which gives a mass loss rate of about  $0.23 \times 10^{11}$  kg yr<sup>-1</sup>. Between 1995 and 2007,  
134 the mass loss is  $0.50 \pm 0.3 \times 10^{11}$  kg which over 12 years gives a rate of  $0.04 \times 10^{11}$  kg yr<sup>-1</sup>, i.e. just one  
135 sixth of the earlier rate. Between 2007 and 2008, there was a mass increase of  $0.68 \pm 0.3 \times 10^{11}$  kg  
136 and so in that one year, about 30% of the mass lost since 1988 was restored.

137 This reversal in trend from mass loss to mass gain in the region beneath the caldera centre at Askja  
138 may be particularly significant when considered alongside recent seismicity detected just outside the  
139 Askja caldera (Jakobsdottir et al., 2008; Soosalu et al., 2009), together with new deformation  
140 detected some 20-25 km NE of Askja in the Upptyppingar region which is volcanically inactive  
141 historically (Jakobsdottir et al., 2008). There may have been sub-surface mass changes associated  
142 with the seismicity and ground deformation detected in the Upptyppingar region, but no micro-  
143 gravity network was established at the time. A micro-gravity network was installed in 2008 and so  
144 repeat measurements will be available in future. Nevertheless, it is clear that there are mass  
145 increases beneath the Askja caldera centre and the seismic activity and deformation around  
146 Upptyppingar suggest that there may have been mass changes beneath this region too.

147 Intriguingly, the long term deflation at Askja coincides with inflation at Krafla volcano in the period  
148 (1978-1984) leading to some suggestions that there may be a link between these systems (e.g.  
149 Rymer and Tryggvason, 1993; Rymer et al., 1998; Sturkell et al., 2008). Following prolonged eruptive  
150 activity during 1975-1984, Krafla has been deflating since 1989 with an exponential decrease in the  
151 rate of deflation (from 7 cm yr<sup>-1</sup> to 1 cm yr<sup>-1</sup> in 10 years; de Zeeuw-van Dalssen et al., 2006).  
152 Microgravity data have also been collected at Krafla between 1990 and 2003 (Rymer et al., 1998; de  
153 Zeeuw-van Dalssen et al., 2006) and we have made repeat measurements in 2008 and 2009.  
154 Regardless of station location, whether within the caldera or 20km along the Krafla rift, these data  
155 show there has been no significant net gravity change (within the error of  $\pm 10$   $\mu$ Gal) and therefore  
156 no sub-surface changes in mass in the period 1997-2009.

157 **Conclusions and implications**

158 A 20-year gravity time series at Askja caldera shows a sharp contrast in behaviour at the caldera  
159 centre compared with the margins. After correction for the deformation at Askja, which itself varies  
160 across the caldera and with time, there have been significant net gravity changes at the caldera  
161 centre but not in the north or southeastern parts of the caldera. The rate of net mass decrease  
162 between 1988 and 1995 was about 6 times greater than the rate observed between 1995 and 2007.  
163 The subsequent net gravity increase in 2008 equates to a mass increase, about 30% of the total mass  
164 loss since 1988.

165 Magma-host rock interactions at calderas in a state of unrest have been modelled from gravity-  
166 height change data (Berrino et al., 1984; Berrino et al., 1992; Battaglia and Segall, 2004; Bonafede  
167 and Ferrari, 2009). The gravity-height change gradient (defined by Rymer and Williams-Jones, 2000)  
168 has varied substantially throughout the period of study and certainly more than has been observed  
169 elsewhere. This is in part because this is the longest data set of its kind and we suggest also because  
170 we have captured a change in sub-surface processes since 2007. The gravity-height change gradient  
171 for the caldera centre at Askja has had 3 distinct values over time; + 53  $\mu\text{Gal m}^{-1}$  for the period 1988-  
172 1995, -228  $\mu\text{Gal m}^{-1}$  for the period 1995-2007 and -1360  $\mu\text{Gal m}^{-1}$  for the period 2007-2008. For  
173 material moving beneath the Askja caldera, the densities of 2.0-3.0  $\text{Mg m}^{-3}$  would be expected to  
174 produce a gravity-height gradient of -252 to -224  $\mu\text{Gal m}^{-1}$  (Gottsmann and Rymer, 2002). For the  
175 period 1995-2007, the observed gravity-height change gradient falls within this range. Possible  
176 interpretations range from magma drainage, creation of voids or magma vesiculation. Magma  
177 drainage and void creation are effectively the same process and magma vesiculation is unlikely to be  
178 relevant during a period of prolonged and substantial ground deflation without any surface  
179 manifestation of increased activity. For the earlier period of 1988-1995, the gradient is flatter which  
180 implies a sub-surface mass and density decrease perhaps leaving a sub-surface plexus of void space.

181 The most recent period in contrast however, has a steeper gradient implying sub-surface mass and  
182 density increase.

183 Magma intrusion is our favoured interpretation of the 2007-8 data because it is consistent with the  
184 small magnitude earthquakes in the middle crust to the north of Askja in July-August 2006. The  
185 seismicity continued through 2007 and 2008. Magma devolatilisation seems unlikely as a process that  
186 would suddenly begin after at least 20 years of drainage. We can exclude water table rise as the  
187 process causing the observed mass increase because the effect is observed at the caldera centre  
188 only and any changes in water table would be expected to manifest across the caldera and to affect  
189 the northern and south-eastern locations also.

190 Magma pathways between central volcanoes in Iceland have been proposed previously (Rymer and  
191 Tryggvason, 1993; Rymer et al., 1998; Sturkell et al., 2006b, Gudmundsson and Andrew, 2007,  
192 Andrew and Gudmundsson, 2008). Recent seismic activity and deformation to the NE of Askja  
193 caldera at Upptyppingar may result from magma movement (Jakobsdottir et al., 2008; Soosalu et al.,  
194 2009) and the coincidence of this activity with mass increases at Askja raises the possibility that  
195 magma may be migrating southwest towards the centre of the caldera from this area. The stability of  
196 gravity at Krafla volcano, however, shows that the 2007-2008 magma movements at Askja were  
197 independent of the Krafla volcanic system.

198 Whilst there is evidence that magma is accumulating beneath the caldera centre at Askja, long term  
199 deflation has persisted in the caldera. This suggests that after an extended period of magma  
200 drainage, new magma may be able to be accommodated in the crust without surface deformation  
201 by filling pre-existing voids. However, if magma accumulation continues it could be expected that  
202 the deflation rate would decrease and eventually it would be expected that inflation be observed.  
203 The reversal from long term magma drainage to magma accumulation below the caldera centre  
204 identified by these new gravity data may be the first sign heralding the next phase of activity at  
205 Askja.

206 Figures:

207 1. Location maps showing (a) the active rift systems in Iceland (dark grey) together with permanent  
208 icecaps (light grey). Arrows show direction of extension. From Einarsson and Saemundsson (1987).;  
209 b) location of Askja and Krafla volcanoes on the rift systems. Dashed outline shows location of part c)  
210 of figure 1; c) location map of Askja caldera showing centre, northern and southeastern gravity  
211 locations (gravity stations shown as dots).

212 2. GPS ground deformation data for the period 2003-2008 at a station located in the centre of the  
213 Askja caldera relative to a station outside the caldera.

214 3. Raw and height corrected gravity data for Askja for the period 1998-2008. The raw gravity data  
215 are shown by solid lines (red: caldera centre, green: southeast and blue: north). The net gravity  
216 changes, calculated using the theoretical FAG value for each location are shown as broken lines.

## 217 **Acknowledgements**

218 We thank the Natural Environment Research Council (NERC NE/F011598/1) for financial support,  
219 John Cassidy and Heidi Soosalu for constructive comments, Maurizio Battaglia and Yosuke Aoki for  
220 insightful reviews of the manuscript and Louise Cotterall for help with manuscript preparation.

## 221 **References**

222

223 Andrew, R.E.B., Gudmundsson, A.. 2008. Volcanoes as elastic inclusions: Their effects on the  
224 propagation of dykes, volcanic fissures, and volcanic zones in Iceland. *Journal of Volcanology and*  
225 *Geothermal Research* 177: 1045-1054.

226 Battaglia, M., Segall, P. 2004. The interpretation of gravity changes and crustal deformation in  
227 active volcanic areas. *Pure and Applied Geophysics* 161: 1453-1467. Doi: 10.1007/s00024-004-  
228 2514-5

229 Berrino G, Corrado G, Luongo G, Toro B., 1984. Ground deformation and gravity changes  
230 accompanying the 1982 Pozzuoli up- lift. *Bull Volcanol* 47:188–200.

231 Berrino G, Rymer H, Brown GC, Corrado G., 1992. Gravity– height correlations for unrest at  
232 calderas. *J Volcanol Geotherm Res* 53:11–26.

- 233 Bonafede, M and Ferrari, C. 2009. Analytical models of deformation and residual gravity changes  
234 due to a Mogi source in a viscoelastic medium. *Tectonophysics* 471 1-2 4-13. Doi:  
235 10.1016/j.tecto.2008.10.006
- 236 Buck, W. R., Einarsson, P., Brandsdóttir, B., 2006. Tectonic stress and magma chamber size as  
237 controls on dike propagation: Constraints from the 1975–1984 Krafla rifting episode. *J. Geophys.*  
238 *Res.*, Vol. 111, No. B12, B12404. doi:10.1029/2005JB003879
- 239 de Zeeuw-van Dalssen, E., Rymer, H., Sigmundsson, F., Sturkell, E., 2005. Net gravity decrease at  
240 Askja Volcano, Iceland: constraints on processes responsible for continuous caldera deflation, 1988-  
241 2003. *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.*, 139, 227-239. Doi:10.1016/j.volgeores.2004.08.008.
- 242 de Zeeuw-van Dalssen, E., Rymer, H., Williams-Jones, G., Sturkell, E., Sigmundsson, F., 2006. The  
243 integration of micro-gravity and geodetic data at Krafla Volcano, N. Iceland., *Bull. Volc.*, 68, 420-431.  
244 Doi:10.1007/s0445-005-0018-5.
- 245 Einarsson, P., 2008. Plate boundaries, rifts and transforms in Iceland, *Jökull* (58), 35-58.
- 246 Einarsson, P., 1991. Earthquakes and present-day tectonism in Iceland. *Tectonophysics*, 189: 261-  
247 279.
- 248 Einarsson, P., Sæmundsson, K. 1987. Earthquake epicenters 1982-1985 and volcanic systems in  
249 Iceland (map). In Sigfússon Þl (ed) *Í hlutarins eðli*, Festschrift for Þorbjörn Sigurgeirsson,  
250 Menningarsjóður, Reykjavík
- 251 Gudmundsson, A., Andrew, R.E.B. 2007. Mechanical interaction between active volcanoes in Iceland.  
252 *Geophysical Research letters*. 34: L10301. Doi:10.1029/2007gl029873.
- 253 Gottsmann, J. and Rymer, H. 2002. Deflation during caldera unrest: constraints on subsurface  
254 processes and hazard prediction from gravity-height data. *Bull. Volc.*, 64, 338-348.
- 255 Knox, C. 2007. Earthquakes near Askja on the North Iceland plate boundary. *Bullard Laboratories*,  
256 University of Cambridge, Cambridge, pp 1-77
- 257 Jakobsdóttir, S., S. 2008. Seismicity in Iceland: 1994-2007. *Jökull* 58: 75-100
- 258 Jakobsdóttir, S.S., Roberts, M.J., Gudmundsson, G.B., Gíersson, H., and Slunga, R., 2008. Earthquake  
259 swarms at Upptyppingar, north-east Iceland: a sign of magma intrusion? *Stud. Geophys. Geod.*, 52,  
260 513-528.
- 261 LaFehr, T. R., 1991. Standardization in gravity reduction. *Geophysics* 56: 1170-1178.
- 262 Masterlark, T. 2007. Magma intrusion and deformation predictions: sensitivities to the Mogi  
263 assumptions. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 112: B06419 Doi 10.1029/2006jb004860.
- 264 Pagli, C., Sigmundsson, F., Árnadóttir, T., Einarsson, P. and Sturkell, E. 2006. Deflation  
265 of the Askja volcanic system: Constraints on the deformation source from combined  
266 inversion of satellite radar interferograms and GPS measurements. *J. Volcanol. Geotherm.*  
267 *Res.*, 152, 97-108. doi:10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2005.09.014.

- 268 Rymer, H. 1989. A contribution to precise microgravity data analysis using LaCoste and Romberg  
269 gravity meters. *Geophysical Journal*, 97, 311-322
- 270 Rymer, H. 1994. Microgravity changes as a precursor to volcanic activity. *J. Volcanol. Geotherm.*  
271 *Res.*, 61, 311-329.
- 272 Rymer, H. and Tryggvason, E. 1993. Gravity and elevation changes at Askja, Iceland. *Bull. Volc.*, 55,  
273 362-371.
- 274 Rymer, H., Cassidy, J., Locke, C.A., and Sigmundsson, F., 1998. Post eruptive gravity changes from  
275 1990-1996 at Krafla volcano, Iceland. *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.*, 87, 141-149.
- 276 Rymer, H., Williams-Jones, G., 2000. Volcanic eruption prediction: magma chamber physics from  
277 gravity and deformation measurements. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 27, 2389-2392.  
278
- 279 Saemundsson, K., 1978. Fissure swarms and central volcanoes of the neo-volcanic zones of Iceland.  
280 *Geol. J. Special Issue* 10, 415-432.  
281
- 282 Sigurdsson, H. and Sparks, R.S.J., 1978. Rifting episode in northern Iceland in 1874-1875 and the  
283 eruptions of Askja and Sveinagja. *Bull. Volc.*, 41, 149-167.
- 284 Soosalu, H., Key, J., White, R.S., Knox, C., Einarsson, P. and Jakobsdóttir, S. S. 2009. Lower-crustal  
285 earthquakes caused by magma movement beneath Askja volcano on the north Iceland rift. *Bull.*  
286 *Volc.*, Doi 10.1007/s00445-009-0297-3.
- 287 Sturkell, E. and Sigmundsson, F., 2000. Continuous deflation of the Askja caldera, Iceland, during the  
288 1993-1998 noneruptive period. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 105, 25671-25684.
- 289 Sturkell, E., Sigmundsson, F. and Slunga, R., 2006a. 1983-2003 decaying rate of deflation at Askja  
290 caldera: pressure decrease in an extensive magma plumbing system at a spreading plate boundary.  
291 *Bull. Volc.*, 68, 727-735.
- 292 Sturkell, E., Einarsson, P., Sigmundsson, F., Geirsson, H., Olafsson, H., Pederson, R., de Zeeuw-van  
293 Daldsen, E., Linde, A.T., Sacks, S.I. and Stefansson, R., 2006b. Volcano geodesy and magma dynamics  
294 in Iceland. *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.*, 150, 14-34.
- 295 Sturkell, E., Sigmundsson, F., Geirsson, H., Olafsson, H. and Theodorsson, T., 2008. Multiple volcano  
296 deformation sources in a post-rifting period: 1989-2005 behaviour of Krafla, Iceland constrained by  
297 levelling, tilt and GPS observations. *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.*, 177, 405-417.
- 298 Thórarinnsson, S. and G.E. Sigvaldason. The eruption of Askja, 1961. A preliminary report. *Amer. J.*  
299 *Sci.*, 260, 641-651, 1962.
- 300 Tryggvason, E., 1989. Ground deformation in Askja, Iceland: Its source and possible relation to flow  
301 of the mantle plume. *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.* 39, 61-71.  
302
- 303 Williams-Jones, G., Rymer, H., Mauri, G., Gottsmann, J., Poland, M. And Carbone, D., 2008. Towards  
304 continuous 4D microgravity monitoring of volcanoes. *Geophysics*, 73, WA19-28.

305 Þorbjarnardóttir B, Guðmundsson GB, Hjaltadóttir S, Roberts MJ (2007) Seismicity in Iceland during  
 306 2006. Jökull 57: 45-59.

307

308

309

	<b>Centre</b> N65°02'32.6" W16°46'58.1" N65°02'30.3" W16°46'58.0"		<b>Northern</b> N65°03'48.6" W16°44'06.1" N65°03'35.6" W16°43'55.8"		<b>South Eastern</b> N65°02'49.4" W16°43'10.9" N65°02'36.9" W16°43'08.8"	
<b>Year</b>	<b>Δg (μGal)</b>	<b>Δh (m)</b>	<b>Δg (μGal)</b>	<b>Δh (m)</b>	<b>Δg (μGal)</b>	<b>Δh (m)</b>
<b>1988</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>1989</b>	0	-0.04	-10	-0.009	21.5	-0.009
<b>1990</b>	11	-0.08	-5	-0.02	-3	-0.02
<b>1991</b>	5	-0.12	4	-0.0285	8	-0.0285
<b>1992</b>	30.5	-0.16	14.5	-0.038	39.5	-0.038
<b>1994</b>	10	-0.28	4.5	-0.062		-0.062
<b>1995</b>	-16.5	-0.31	17	-0.069	35.5	-0.069
<b>1997</b>	7.5	-0.37	26	-0.084	-16	-0.084
<b>2002</b>	39.5	-0.52	2.5	-0.124	31.5	-0.124
<b>2003</b>	42	-0.545	15	-0.1315	42	-0.1315
<b>2007</b>	60	-0.625				
<b>2008</b>	128	-0.675	35.5	-0.1665	28	-0.1665
<b>2009</b>	107.5		40.5		14.5	

310

311 Table 1 Cumulative gravity and height change data for the Central, Northern and South-eastern  
 312 groups of stations. Height changes are from Sturkell et al., 2006a and Figure 2, prior to 1992, height  
 313 changes for the Centre group are based on the Mogi model interpolation of de Zeeuw-van Dalssen et  
 314 al. (2005).

315





