Word prosody in early child Catalan, Spanish and English

Conference or Workshop Item

How to cite:

For guidance on citations see FAQs.

© 2010 The Authors

Version: Accepted Manuscript

Link(s) to article on publisher’s website:
http://speechprosody2010.illinois.edu/authorindex.htm

Copyright and Moral Rights for the articles on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. For more information on Open Research Online’s data policy on reuse of materials please consult the policies page.
Word prosody in early child Catalan, Spanish and English

Lluïsa Astruc¹, Elinor Payne², Brechtje Post³ Pilar Prieto⁴, Maria del Mar Vanrell⁵

¹Department of Languages, The Open University, Milton Keynes, UK
²Phonetics Laboratory, University of Oxford and St Hilda’s College, Oxford, UK
³RCEAL, University of Cambridge and Jesus College, Cambridge, UK
⁴Departament de Traducció i Ciències del Llenguatge, Universitat Pompeu Fabra, Barcelona, Spain
⁵ICREA, Institució Catalana de Recerca i Estudis Avançats, Barcelona, Spain

l.astruc@open.ac.uk, elinor.payne@phon.ox.ac.uk, mbmp2@cam.ac.uk, pilar.prieto@upf.edu, mariadelmar.vanrell@upf.edu

Abstract

The goal of this study is to examine the acquisition of prosody at the word level in early child Catalan, Spanish and English. We used a controlled naming task to elicit speech from 36 children; 12 English, 12 Catalan, and 12 Spanish, aged 2, 4 and 6 in order to analyze the acquisition of prosodic words with increasingly complex forms (S, WS, SW, WSW, WWS, SWW; 3 target words per prosodic pattern in each language). We analyzed the prosodic patterns produced and quantified the omissions ("truncations") of weakly stressed syllables. Results are in line with previous studies [1],[2] in that there are developmental and crosslinguistic differences in the acquisition of complex prosodic word structures.

Index Terms: prosodic word, first language acquisition metrical patterns, rhythm, Catalan, Spanish, English.

1. Introduction

It is believed that normally developing children typically have greater control of suprasegmental features such as loudness, pitch, and duration than of segmental articulatory movements and that they master these core prosodic features before they produce their first two-word combinations [3]. For this reason it is widely assumed that prosody is crucial for the acquisition of language (cf. the “prosodic bootstrapping hypothesis”, e.g. [4]).

On the other hand, children need time to master complex prosodic word patterns as they typically omit syllables from multisyllabic words ("truncation") or add them so that early words tend to follow a strong-weak pattern (SW), e.g. [5], [6]. For instance, “baNAna” would be truncated to /nana/, and so forth. This process is so pervasive in early child phonology that the existence of a possibly universal SW template, a “trochaic bias”, has been proposed e.g. [7]. However, there is recent evidence that the early acquisition of prosodic word structure may be determined by the frequency of syllable and prosodic word structures in the ambient language rather than by universal constraints – in other words, any early phonological templates will follow from statistical frequencies in the input [5]; for a review see [8]. Children learning Spanish, a language with relatively simple syllabic structures and a higher statistical frequency of words with three or more syllables, can produce multisyllabic words much earlier than children learning German, as they do not have to contend with complex syllabic structures and they can concentrate in increasing the number of syllables (see [1], and [10]) for English; see [9] for French and English. Similar observations are made for Portuguese [11]. Results in [2], a longitudinal study of 2 Spanish and 4 Catalan children (1:1 to 4:00), suggest that the high frequency of SW forms in Catalan may account for Catalan children’s early truncation of the pretonic syllable in WS and WSW prosodic words. On the other hand, Catalan has more monosyllabic and WS words than Spanish, due to the historical loss of masculine inflectional morphemes (Sp Gaťo boNito, Cat. gat boNIC). Indeed, as shown in [2], Catalan Child Directed Speech contains more monosyllables (Cat. 35%, Sp. 26%) and more WS words than Spanish (Cat 18%, Sp. 11%), but fewer SW words (Cat 31%, Sp. 41%), and WSW words (Cat. 9%, Sp. 17%). English Child Directed Speech, on the other hand, contains a higher proportion of monosyllabic words (80%) than Catalan and Spanish, and a much lower proportion of weak initial syllables (Eng. 3.8%, Sp. 44.6%) [14].

Our goal is thus to examine the acquisition of prosodic word structure in early child speech in Catalan, Spanish and English. We expect to find crosslinguistic differences in the age of acquisition of prosodic word patterns since English, Spanish and Catalan, and Spanish clearly belong to rhythmically different typological groups. English is the prototypical stress-timed language, with vowel reduction, and complex onsets and codas; Spanish is the prototypical syllable-timed language, with no vowel reduction and mostly CV syllabic structure; and Catalan, which has phonological vowel reduction and some complex codas is generally considered a mixed language (e.g. [12]). Using the same adult participants as the present study and a very controlled methodology, [13] found that Spanish and Catalan are more similar to each other, both tending towards a more syllable-based rhythm. Therefore, we predict that Spanish children will master complex prosodic words earlier than English children and that the prosodic word development of Catalan children will be more similar to that of the Spanish children than to that of English children.

2. Method

a. Subjects and procedure

We recorded 36 children interacting with their mothers. All recordings were conducted at the children’s homes in sessions of about 40 minutes. The ages of the children were chosen so they fell into clearly differentiated developmental stages. The children (12 English, 9 girls and 3 boys; 12 Catalan, 7 girls...
and 5 boys; 12 Spanish, 7 girls and 5 boys) were about 2, 4 and 6 six years of age at the time of the recordings.

The data were elicited with a naming game, based on short, animated clips, shown on Powerpoint slides on a laptop screen. The animations showed scenes, some with animals and some with everyday objects, that included the target word. Mothers were given written instructions explaining that they have to read a short story about a little fairy called Melanie who was looking for some objects and animals. According to the instructions, the mother asked her child to name the target words by asking “What is Melanie looking for?” or “What is this?” and then praised the child for getting it right, and repeated what the child had said. If the child said a different word, as for instance “ball” instead of the target word “balloon”, the mother had to encourage her to try again until the child used the target word. The dialogue was modeled for her in each slide, with the target word highlighted in a different colour. A typical dialogue went thus:

1. [mother] What is Melanie looking for?
2. [child] The balloon
3. [mother] Good! She is looking for the balloon.
4. [mother] Can you find it? There! Well done

b. Materials

The purpose of the material was to test the production of increasingly complex prosodic forms (S, WS, SW, SWS, WSW, WWS, SWSW, and SWSWW). The age of the children posed some methodological problems, since we had to rely exclusively on words that were both maximally familiar to young children and also imageable. We designed the corpus using the UWA MRC Psycholinguistic Database [15] for English, which we completed with data from Catalan and Spanish children’s books. Table 1 shows the words used.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalan</th>
<th>Spanish</th>
<th>English</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>Sol</td>
<td>Sun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>Nena</td>
<td>Angel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WS</td>
<td>Bebé</td>
<td>Guitar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WSW</td>
<td>Sabata</td>
<td>Potato</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWW</td>
<td>Aliga</td>
<td>Elephant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WWS</td>
<td>Cocodril</td>
<td>Cockatoo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWSW</td>
<td>Papallona</td>
<td>Caterpillar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWSWW</td>
<td>(not available in child lang)</td>
<td>Hippopótamo</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Target words for the three languages.

We thus aimed at testing 8 prosodic structures, from monosyllables to the most complex structure that children can possibly be familiar with, namely SWSWW as in “hippopotamus”.

c. Analysis and acoustic measures

The sound files were analysed acoustically and instrumentally using Praat [16]. The first author and a research assistant analysed the target words acoustically. The research assistant transcribed them phonetically and annotated any deviations from the canonical segmental form. The first author validated the annotations and processed the data.

3. Results

a. Realisation of the target words

We obtained a corpus of 2835 words of which 1197 were in English, 724 in Spanish and 914 in Catalan, and which included five groups: children at 2, 4, and 6 years of age and adults talking to children (Child Directed Speech) and to the researcher (Adult Directed Speech).

For the study of truncation, we selected for analysis the first token produced by each child, preferably not repeated after the mother. We thus compare three age groups per language. The dataset for the study of truncation has a total of 790 words of which 47 were truncated.

First of all, we present a general picture of the word prosody acquisition using the whole database of 2835 (which includes repetitions). As we can see from the percentage of multisyllabic words in Figure 1, overall, the younger children produced less complex metrical structures than the older children:

![Figure 1. Percentage of multisyllabic words produced by children at ages 2, 4 and 6.](image-url)

As shown by the histograms in Figure 1, there is an increase in the number of multisyllabic words (WSW, SWS, WWS, SWSW, SWSWW patterns) as children grow older, which is especially evident in English and in Catalan. The Spanish children, on the other hand, show no major changes in the percentage of multisyllabic words produced; they seem to be at an advantage from age 2.

Figure 2 shows the occurrences of each of the 8 target patterns. (There is no Catalan data for SWSWW).
The SW pattern (trochee) is the most frequent across all languages and age groups. As expected, the youngest children, produced a higher proportion of SW words (almost 30%) than older children (about 22%), whereas they produced a slightly lower proportion of the other prosodic patterns, except for WSW (younger children, 13%; older children, 11%). Prosodic development seems to imply expanding from a basic SW pattern to a WSW pattern and from this to increasingly complex forms.

As shown in Figure 3, a language by language analysis reveals crosslinguistic differences in the evolution of the multisyllabic forms. At age 2, English children realize mostly SW forms (18%), followed by S (12%), and by WS (12%), but they hardly produce any WWS. They thus show a very strong trochaic bias but also a very strong tendency to use disyllabic words. On the other hand, Catalan and especially Spanish children of the same age, produce higher proportions of the complex prosodic word forms (Sp. WWS, WSW, and SWSW; Cat. WSW, SWW, and WWS).

**Figure 2.** Percentage of each prosodic word patterns (horizontal axis) produced by all children.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pattern</th>
<th>English</th>
<th>Catalan</th>
<th>Spanish</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WS</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WSW</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWW</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WWS</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWSW</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWWW</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 3.** Percentage of prosodic patterns produced by 2-year-olds

As for the WSW patterns, Spanish and Catalan 2-year-olds produce a much higher proportion of WSW forms than English children do (Spanish 13%, Catalan 19%, against English 4%).

**Figure 4.** Percentage of truncated forms per language and age group.

First of all, we observe a clear developmental difference across all language groups from age 2 to age 4 and to age 6. At age 4, children produce a mere 1% of truncations. At Age 6, none of the children produced truncated forms anymore.

Although it is difficult to make generalisations, given the relative scarcity of the data points, we observe a clear crosslinguistic difference in this direction: Spanish and Catalan children produce fewer truncations than the English children. At Age 2, English children omit one or more
syllables in 26% of the forms they produce whereas Catalan omit syllables in just 10% of the cases and Spanish children in 13% of the cases. Furthermore, all 4 English children truncate words while both for Spanish and Catalan, one child in four produce all words correctly already at age 2. Truncation is thus more widespread in English than it is in Spanish and Catalan. We also observe clear crosslinguistic differences in the distribution of the metrical patterns truncated.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Language</th>
<th>Words</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Truncation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Catalan</td>
<td>hipopótami</td>
<td>swws</td>
<td>sw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mèlànica, música</td>
<td>sww</td>
<td>sw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>elefant, pantalons</td>
<td>wsw</td>
<td>ws</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spanish</td>
<td>hipopótamo</td>
<td>swwws</td>
<td>sw...sw, wws</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mèlànica, música</td>
<td>sww</td>
<td>sw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mariposa, elefante</td>
<td>swwsw</td>
<td>sw, wsw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>violin, caracol,...</td>
<td>wws</td>
<td>ws</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>English</td>
<td>hippopotamus,...</td>
<td>swwsw</td>
<td>sw...sw, wws</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>caterpillar,...</td>
<td>swwsw</td>
<td>sw, sw...sw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>kangaroo, cockatoo...</td>
<td>wws</td>
<td>ws</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>elefant, butterfly,...</td>
<td>sww</td>
<td>sw, sw...sw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>balloon, guitar,...</td>
<td>ws</td>
<td>s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>banana, pyjamas,...</td>
<td>wsw</td>
<td>ws</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Truncations per language at age 2

Table 2 shows the metrical patterns most frequently truncated at age 2 in all three languages. We see that only English children still truncate WS and WSW forms. Both in Catalan and in Spanish, the metrical patterns most frequently truncated are SWSW, SWW and WWS, which are mostly reduced to a SW form. Spanish and English children, additionally, truncate the SWSWW pattern (not available in the Catalan data) in several ways, from SW...SW to WWSW.

4. Conclusion

This paper offers an exploratory study of the acquisition of prosodic word structure in early child speech in Catalan, Spanish and English, at ages 2, 4, and 6. Our prediction was that Spanish children will master complex prosodic words earlier than English children and that the prosodic word development of Catalan children will be more similar to that of the Spanish children than to that of English children. This prediction has been borne out by the data. From age 2, Spanish children produce a much higher number of multisyllabic words, and overall, they produce a much higher proportion of complex prosodic word forms. Catalan children are closer to Spanish children than they are to English, and this is also evident in the patterns of truncation. By age 2, English children produce a 26% of truncated forms, while Spanish and Catalan children produce about half as many. We can thus conclude that the acquisition of metrical patterns is accomplished earlier in runs in Catalan and in Spanish than it is in English.
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