



Open Research Online

Citation

Stevens, C. J. and Quinton, J. N. (2008). Investigating source areas of eroded sediments transported in concentrated overland flow using rare earth element tracers. *Catena*, 74(1) pp. 31–36.

URL

<https://oro.open.ac.uk/19061/>

License

None Specified

Policy

This document has been downloaded from Open Research Online, The Open University's repository of research publications. This version is being made available in accordance with Open Research Online policies available from [Open Research Online \(ORO\) Policies](#)

Versions

If this document is identified as the Author Accepted Manuscript it is the version after peer review but before type setting, copy editing or publisher branding

1 **INVESTIGATING SOURCE AREAS OF ERODED SEDIMENTS**
2 **TRANSPORTED IN CONCENTRATED OVERLAND FLOW USING RARE**
3 **EARTH ELEMENT TRACERS**

4
5 C.J. Stevens^{a,b} and J.N. Quinton^a

6
7 ^a Department of Environmental Science,

8 Lancaster University,

9 Lancaster,

10 LA1 4YQ,

11 UK

12
13 ^b Department of Biological Sciences,

14 The Open University,

15 Walton Hall,

16 Milton Keynes,

17 MK7 6AA,

18 UK

19
20 Corresponding author contact details:

21 Dr Carly Stevens

22 Department of Biological Sciences,

23 The Open University,

24 Walton Hall,

25 Milton Keynes,

26 MK7 6AA,

27 UK

28
29 Tel: 0161 247 1529

30 Fax: 0161 247 6318

31 Email: c.j.stevens@open.ac.uk

1 **INVESTIGATING SOURCE AREAS OF ERODED**
2 **SEDIMENTS TRANSPORTED IN CONCENTRATED**
3 **OVERLAND FLOW USING RARE EARTH ELEMENT**
4 **TRACERS**

5
6 C.J. Stevens^{a,b*} and J.N. Quinton^a

7
8 ^a Department of Environmental Science, Lancaster University, Lancaster, LA1 4YQ,
9 UK

10 ^b Department of Biological Sciences, The Open University, Walton Hall, Milton
11 Keynes, MK7 6AA, UK

12 * Corresponding author

13
14 **Abstract**

15
16 Rare earth element oxides (REOs) have excellent potential for use as tracers in
17 erosion studies. Using laboratory and field experiments we aimed to develop and test
18 a simple application method for spreading REOs and to use REOs to determine the
19 source of sediment to concentrated overland flow paths.

20 Tracks left by farm machinery (tramlines) in fields act as concentrated flow
21 paths, delivering a large amount of surface runoff and entrained sediment to the field
22 boundary however little is known regarding where this sediment is sourced from.
23 Two field experiments were conducted to investigate this, one looking at whether

1 sediment is entrained from different points along the length of the tramline and one
2 looking at the area over which sediment is entrained from either side of a tramline.

3 Results from leaching tests and rainfall simulations clearly demonstrated the
4 potential of this method for tracing sediment, there was very little movement of REOs
5 through the soil profile and they were transported with sediment in overland flow.

6 In the field experiment a large proportion of the sediment transported in the
7 tramline originated within the first metre either side of it. However, the total area
8 over which eroded sediment collects and flows into the tramline is potentially large
9 with small amounts of sediment eroded from the between four and six metres being
10 transported in the tramline.

11 REOs have an excellent potential for use as a tracer of soil erosion. They
12 provide a quick and cheap method of identifying sources of eroded sediments and
13 have good potential for use in determining erosion rates.

14

15 Keywords: Soil erosion, concentrated flow, tracer, rare earth oxides, sediment source

16

17 **1. Introduction**

18 Water erosion of agricultural soils has been widely recognised as a global
19 environmental problem for many years. In the United Kingdom rates of erosion
20 appear to have remained constant over the last 20 years (Evans, 2005). Soil surfaces
21 that are bare or have low vegetation cover during the autumn and winter, such as
22 winter cereal crops, are especially susceptible to erosion (Quinton and Catt, 2004). In
23 areas where soils are readily erodible this problem can be serious with rates of erosion
24 typically between 0.5 and 200 t ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ (Chambers et al, 2000).

1 Erosion can cause a reduction in soil quality with negative impacts on soil
2 structure and fertility potentially resulting in a loss of productivity. Eroded sediment
3 also causes a reduction in water quality of nearby water bodies by increasing
4 turbidity, reducing light penetration, clogging fish gills and destroying aquatic
5 habitats through sedimentation. As soils erode they also transport other pollutants
6 such as heavy metals (Quinton and Catt, 2007) and nutrients (e.g. Quinton et al.,
7 2001; Heygarth, 2005) into watercourses contributing to a range of environmental
8 problems including eutrophication.

9 Concentrated flow erosion, in the form of rills or gullies, is an important
10 vector for sediment and pollutants to reach water courses as the rate of erosion is
11 much higher from rills and gullies (Torri et al., 1987). Recently it has become
12 apparent that the tracks left by farm machinery (tramlines) through fields are acting as
13 concentrated flow paths and are responsible for delivering a disproportionate amount
14 of material to the field boundary (Silgram et al., 2007). Tramlines are established at
15 the time of sowing and are used throughout the growing season to prevent crop
16 damage during spraying and fertilizing operations. Tramlines provide an important
17 conduit for surface runoff and the sediment transported in it due to the bare
18 compacted soil found within them. Earlier initiation of runoff, lack of crop cover,
19 compaction and the channelling effect of tramlines have all been identified as factors
20 contributing to the transport of sediment in tramlines (Reed, 1979; Fullen, 1985;
21 Withers et al., 2006). Withers et al. (2006) found that runoff was initiated sooner in
22 tramline areas than non-tramline areas and total runoff volumes were increased by up
23 to 65%. Loads of suspended sediments were up to five times higher from areas with
24 tramlines than from those without. Tramlines are clearly a very important feature in
25 the landscape providing a pathway for the rapid transport of sediment. Despite their

1 importance they have received relatively little research attention and little is know
2 about where the sediment transported in the tramlines originates from.

3 The collection of spatially distributed erosion data is a generic problem in soil
4 erosion research. Spatially distributed data is important not only for understanding
5 soil erosion processes, but also for the development and validation of soil erosion
6 models (Zhang et al., 2003a). The need to identify sediment source areas has led to
7 the development of a number of different tracer techniques including ^{137}Cs (e.g.
8 Poreba, 2006), ^7Be (e.g. Schuller et al., 2006), ^{210}Fe (e.g. Motha et al., 2002),
9 magnetic susceptibility (e.g. Blake et al., 2006) and glass or plastic beads (e.g.
10 Cochrane and Flanagan, 2006). Of these, ^{137}Cs has received the most research
11 attention and has become an established method for studying the redistribution of soil
12 on hillslopes. However, many of the tracers above suffer from having poor resolving
13 power when it comes to for the identification of sediment source areas. In the case of
14 ^{137}Cs it is possible to identify accumulation areas and loss areas but not to explicitly
15 link the two. For the spatial validation of models or for studies linking pollutant
16 source with sink explicitly linking source areas with receptors is a necessity.

17 Rare earth element oxide (REO) tracers provide a promising alternative to the
18 approaches described above and have been successfully used in several studies (e.g.
19 Polyakov et al., 2004; Wei et al., 2003; Lei et al., 2006). Rare earth elements, or
20 lanthanides, range from atomic numbers 57 to 70 in the periodic table. Although
21 called the 'rare earths' they are not uncommon in the natural environment and occur
22 in a number of minerals, although concentrations in soil are generally low. The oxides
23 of rare earth elements are very stable and non-toxic making them suitable for
24 application in the environment. They also satisfy the characteristics of sediment
25 tracers identified by (Zhang et al., 2001). Namely that: they should be bind strongly to

1 soil particles; not interfere with sediment transportation; be easy and inexpensive to
2 measure; have low background concentrations; not be easily taken up by plants and
3 not be damaging to the environment.

4 REOs were first used as a horizon marker, rather than as a tracer, by Knaus
5 and van Gent (1989) to investigate accretion in a wetland habitat. Initial studies on the
6 use of REOs as tracers were conducted by Tian et al. (1994) who concluded that
7 REOs could potentially be used to accurately determine the spatial distribution of soil
8 erosion. (Matisoff et al., 2001) also developed a similar method, determining that
9 REOs provided a robust method for tracing soil movement with tagged particles and
10 behaved in the same manner as untagged material. Since then considerable
11 development work has been conducted by Nearing and his research group. (Zhang et
12 al., 2001) determined that REO oxides were evenly incorporated in aggregates sized
13 between <0.053mm and 6mm, but with some preference for binding with the fraction
14 smaller than 0.053mm. They also found no REO vertical movement of REOs in the
15 soil profile after leaching with deionised water. Laboratory studies have
16 demonstrated the potential of this technique for generating spatially and temporally
17 distributed data allowing quantification of soil redistribution (Zhang et al., 2003b;
18 Polyakov et al., 2004). Field application of REOs have been successful allowing
19 mapping of soil redistribution in a small watershed over short and multi-year
20 timescales (Polyakov et al., 2004; Kimoto et al., 2006a). Although REOs bind
21 strongly with silt and clay their preference for binding with small particle sizes means
22 they are less suitable for use with coarse soils. Kimoto et al. (2006b) worked on
23 gravelly sandy loam soils where they found errors were higher because of selective
24 deposition in coarse materials, while this did not preclude the use of REOs as tracers
25 in these soils, it did lead to increased complexity of analysis in order to determine

1 sediment loss rate and analysis costs. REOs have also been used to study the
2 processes of rill formation (Wei et al., 2003; Xue et al., 2004, Lei et al., 2006).

3 In this paper we set out to do two things: to develop and test a simple
4 application method for spreading REOs and to use REOs to determine the source of
5 sediment to concentrated overland flow paths. This paper reports the findings of
6 laboratory experiments on REO application method and two field experiments, one
7 looking at whether sediment is entrained from different points along the length of the
8 tramline and one looking at the area over which sediment is entrained from either side
9 of a tramline.

10

11 **2. Methods**

12

13 *2.1 Laboratory studies*

14 A bulked soil sample was collected from three mid and lower slope areas at
15 the study site (see below) in order to determine background concentrations of rare
16 earth elements.

17 Dry soil samples were ground to <2mm, background rare earth element
18 concentrations were determined using extraction with an aqua regia digest in open
19 glass tubes in a block digester (McGrath and Cunicliff, 1985) followed by analysis
20 using a Thermo Elemental X7 inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometer (ICP-
21 MS). Although not used in some previous studies with REOs (e.g. Polyakov and
22 Nearing, 2004) this method is a standard method for the extraction of heavy metals
23 from soils and is established for use with REOs (Diatioff *et al.*, 1996). Extractions
24 were carried out to ensure recovery was consistent between elements and comparable
25 to the methods used in previous studies (Pryce and Quinton, unpublished data). The

1 results of this initial survey suggested that whilst Gadolinium (Gd), Neodymium (Nd),
2 Praseodymium (Pr) and Samarium (Sm) showed acceptable levels of variation (less
3 than 15% variation between repeated measures) within the soil Lanthanum (La) was
4 too variable (approximately 150% between repeated extracts from a bulked sample).
5 Background concentrations of the REOs in the soil used in this study were 3.8 mg kg^{-1}
6 for Gd, 24.5 mg kg^{-1} for Nd, 6.0 mg kg^{-1} for Pr and 5.1 mg kg^{-1} for Sm. The particle
7 size distribution of the REOs investigated in this experiment and the soil used were
8 determined in suspension with 4% calgon using a Malvern Mastersizer.

9 For all of the experiments conducted, REOs were applied at ten times the
10 background concentration. Air dry soil was ground to $<2 \text{ mm}$ and mixing was carried
11 out using a hand whisk in a covered bowl.

12 Prior to application in the field it was important to determine whether the
13 REOs would move vertically through the soil profile. To test this, a leaching
14 experiment was set up. 200ml of soil (ground to 2mm) was placed in a 5cm diameter
15 glass open top funnel with glass fibre wool in the base to prevent blockages. 20g of
16 soil mixed with REOs was spread evenly over the soil surface (giving an approximate
17 depth of 1cm) and glass fibre wool was placed in the top of the tube. 500ml of
18 deionised water was leached through the tubes over a period of 48 hours. Three
19 replicates were used for each of Gd, Nd, Pr and Sm. Once the leaching had been
20 completed the soil core was carefully removed from the tubes and cut into segments
21 for analysis. The top segment was taken at 0-0.5 cm, then 0.5-1.5, 4-5, 8-9 and 13 -14
22 cm. These were dried and ground for extraction and analysis as described above.

23 To compare the application of REOs to the soil surface mixed in either soil or
24 sand a rainfall simulation experiment was carried out. Mixing the REOs with sand
25 rather than soil provides a number of practical benefits when working at a large scale

1 in the field saving time in the preparation of REOs for spreading. Experiments were
2 conducted using 25 x 50 cm boxes of soil with a collector at one end for surface
3 runoff. A slope angle of 8° was used. The rainfall simulator was gravity fed and had a
4 drop height of 287 cm, a rainfall intensity of 56 mm h⁻¹, mean drop size of 2.2 mm
5 and used deionised water.

6 Boxes were half filled with sand then filled to the top with soil. The soil
7 surface was moistened under the rainfall simulator and topped up if necessary. REOs
8 were mixed with either dry soil or dry sand using a hand mixer. For the REO-soil
9 mixture 0.5 kg of soil mixed with REOs at ten times the background concentration
10 was spread evenly over the soil surface (62.5 g m⁻² of REO-soil mix). In order to
11 achieve an application rate of ten times the background concentration for the sand
12 mixture, a maximum depth of interaction of 4cm (determined using the leaching
13 experiment, see results below) and a bulk density of 1.2g cm⁻³ were used to calculate
14 the quantity of REOs required to be mixed with sand over a given area, giving an
15 application rate of 28.2g m⁻² of REO-soil mix. The boxes were then placed under the
16 rainfall simulator; surface runoff was collected for 10 minutes. The collected runoff
17 was centrifuged, decanted and evaporated to remove excess water. Dried sediments
18 were ground for extraction and analysis as described above.

19

20 *2.2 Field studies*

21 The field experiments were conducted at the Allerton Trust Farm at
22 Loddington, Leicestershire (52.60N, 0.83W). Soils were heavy clays belonging to the
23 Hanslope and Denchworth series (Haplaquept, suborder Aquents) on a slope of
24 approximately 5°. The area selected for the investigation had been ploughed up and
25 down the slope with one 100m long tramline and was sown with an oat crop. The

1 experiment was conducted between 30th November 2006 and 14th December 2006.
2 During this time there was a total of 25.6mm rain with one particularly large rainfall
3 event on the 11th December when 9.2mm of rain fell in 24 hours. Runoff was
4 collected in covered drains at the base of the slope and was stored in 500 l tanks for
5 sub-sampling. Sediments were sub-sampled by taking 1 l mixed water and sediment
6 samples from the tanks which were centrifuged, decanted and evaporated to remove
7 excess water. Additional sediments were collected by allowing sediment in the tanks
8 to settle and carefully pumping water out then collecting deposited sediment. This
9 method ensured that both the fine and coarse sediments were sampled. Sediments
10 were dried and ground prior to REE analysis as described above.

11 REO sand mixtures were spread in the field using a push along fertiliser
12 spreader. This was calibrated to spread the REO-sand mix at a rate of 28.2 g m⁻²,
13 giving an even coverage at a low rate. Experiments were conducted 5 m upslope of
14 the runoff collection drains which were sunk to a level flush with the soil surface.

15 Experiment 1 was used to investigate distance over which soil moves into
16 tramlines from either side of the tramlines. Bands of REOs were spread parallel to the
17 tramline. Figure 2a shows the layout of the experiment in the field. A 1 m wide, 5 m
18 long band of Sm was spread either side of the tramline (the tramline was masked with
19 a plastic sheet to prevent REOs to prevent contamination), a 1m wide band of Nd was
20 spread either side of this followed by 2 m wide bands of Nd and Gd. Only one
21 wheeling of the tramline was established so the experiment was repeated on both sides
22 of this wheeling.

23 Experiment 2 was used to investigate whether sediment collected from the
24 tramline was entering along the whole length of the tramline. Bands of REOs were
25 placed along the contour perpendicular to the tramline (Figure 2b). Bands of REOs

1 were 1 m wide and 1 m long placed either side of the tramline. The tramline was
2 masked with a plastic sheet to ensure the REOs collected came from outside the
3 tramline.

4

5 **3. Results**

6

7 *3.1 Particle size analysis*

8 The particle size distributions of the REO and the soil used are given in Figure
9 1. All of the samples had similar median particle sizes: 6.7 μm , 5.7 μm , 5.7 μm 7.2
10 μm and 6.6 μm for Pr, Gd, Sm, Nd and the soil respectively. Pr had a second peak at
11 23 μm and a together with the soil greater proportion of particles in the 60 -100 μm
12 range.

13 *3.2 Leaching experiment*

14 Analysis of the soil core segments from the leaching experiment consistently
15 showed that levels of REOs in the soils was elevated in the first few centimetres
16 (Figure 3). For all of the elements investigated this had returned to background levels
17 by 4 cm (figure 3). This was taken as the maximum zone of interaction.

18 *3.3 Rainfall simulator experiments*

19 Results of the rainfall simulator experiments using REOs mixed in soil and
20 sand showed that REOs were enriched in the sediment (Table 1). Concentrations of
21 REOs in sediment applied in soil were not significantly different to concentrations
22 when REOs were applied with sand (Mann-Whitney U-test: Gd $p=0.82$, Nd $p=0.59$,
23 Sm $p=0.48$, Pr $p=0.59$). Variability in the concentration of REOs in eroded sediment
24 was considerably higher for REOs applied in sand than when applied in soil (Table 1).

1 The variability was approximately constant for the different elements applied when
2 applied mixed in soil whereas, when applied mixed in sand, some elements showed a
3 greater variability than others. There was no difference in total sediment losses
4 between the sand and sediment applications which suggests that the difference in
5 particle size of the application medium was responsible for the difference in
6 variability. Although application in sand has some benefits the greater variability
7 with the sand-REO mix than with the soil-REO mix suggests that more replicates are
8 needed if the former is used.

9 *3.4 Field experiments*

10 The enrichment ratio for Pr from experiment 1 was clearly different from that
11 for Gd, Nd and Sm (Table 2). Figure 4 shows the concentration of the REOs in soil
12 and sediment eroded from experiment 1. The enrichment of Pr in samples is much
13 higher than for the other REOs, indicating that more sediment is sourced from one
14 metre either side of the tramline than from 2-4 m, but that sediment is transported by
15 up to 4 m before being delivered to the tramline. The assumption has been made that
16 all REOs tag similarly across different aggregate sizes (proven in Zhang et al., 2001)
17 and that aggregate sizes transported from different areas are similar. As the particle
18 sizes of the REOs are similar to each other and the soil (figure 1) we believe that the
19 enrichment ratios in Table 2 are a result of transport from different source areas rather
20 than selective erosion of REOs already within the soil. This is corroborated by the
21 concentrations of REOs in sediment collected from different parts of the hillside
22 (from a different event), but without any applied REOs, where background
23 concentrations of REOs were slightly lower than the soil indicating that they were not
24 concentrated by selective transport of eroded sediment (3.5 mg kg⁻¹ for Gd, 21.7 mg
25 kg⁻¹ for Nd, 5.2 mg kg⁻¹ for Pr and 4.8 mg kg⁻¹ for Sm).

1 Enrichment ratios in experiment 2 (Table 2) showed very little variation
2 between elements. This suggests that all four areas along the length of the tramline are
3 contributing equal amounts to the sediment collected in runoff. Even though the
4 application of REOs was adjacent to the tramlines (between zero and one metre)
5 enrichment ratios are lower than for experiment 1. However, enrichment per unit area
6 of application is similar.

7

8 **4. Discussion**

9 Initial laboratory experiments demonstrated the suitability of REOs for
10 identifying sediment sources. Leaching experiments showed that in this soil type
11 REOs only interacted with the soil surface and there were not significant losses due to
12 leaching. This is an essential characteristic of a tracer but the shallow zone of
13 interaction is problematic where rills form causing soils to erode from below the zone
14 of interaction diluting the tracer concentration. Mixing the soil by ploughing could
15 reduce this problem.

16 The rainfall simulator studies showed that REOs are eroded with soils
17 (whether they are applied mixed with soil or sand). When applied to the soil surface,
18 mixed with soil, results were consistent between rainfall simulations which suggest
19 that the REOs bind with the soil and are eroded at the same rate. These findings are as
20 expected from previous work by Zhang et al. (2001, 2003), Polyakov et al. (2004) and
21 Polyakov and Nearing (2004) who have shown rare earth elements make very good
22 tracers in fine textured soils such as these. When applied mixed with sand however,
23 there was considerable variability in the concentrations of REOs being eroded with
24 the soil although the means were similar to the soil REO applications. The most likely
25 explanation for the high variability in REO retrieval when applied in sand is

1 inadequate mixing settling out or the formation of aggregates as a result of the
2 differing textures of the REOs and sand. A more consistent result was obtained when
3 mixing the REOs in soil for application which suggests that there is good potential for
4 the use of REOs to estimate erosion rates in the future on soils with similar particle
5 size distributions.

6 In the field experiment a large proportion of the sediment transported in the
7 tramline originated within the first metre either side of it. This would suggest that the
8 majority of material is transported over relatively short distances before entering the
9 tramlines. Enrichment (per m²) was twice as high for the second band at 1-2 metres
10 (Sm) than for the 2-4 and 4 to 6 metre bands suggesting that much of the remaining
11 sediment comes from the next metre either side. However, the total area over which
12 eroded sediment collects and flows into the tramline is potentially large with small
13 amounts of sediment eroded from the between four and six metres being transported
14 in the tramline. As tramlines are frequently spaced every 12 metres these results imply
15 that tramlines act as conduits for sediment generated over the entire field.

16 Almost equal amounts of REO enrichment were found from the four source
17 areas along the length of the tramline showing that over the 4m length of the
18 experiment all areas contributed an approximately equal amount of sediment despite
19 variation in the topography. This would imply that detachment and transport
20 processes are occurring at approximately the same rate in all areas to the side of the
21 tramlines and that once delivered to the tramline that there is little selective deposition
22 of the different elements in the overland flow.

23 Monitoring over three subsequent events (data not presented here) showed
24 declining levels of REO tracer in eroded sediment with each event. After three events
25 they had not returned to background levels. REOs may be suitable for identifying

1 source areas over longer periods of time but not for calculating rates of sediment
2 erosion over multiple events. Re-mobilisation may be an issue in considering losses
3 from multiple events.

4 REOs have an excellent potential for use as a tracer of soil erosion. The use of
5 a sand REO mixture in our work was partially successful. It provides a rapid cost
6 effective means of preparing the tracer for field application, avoiding the need to dry
7 and grind large quantities of soil. However, mixing the samples was problematic
8 leading to more variability in tracer delivery than when the REOs were mixed with
9 soil. Combined with the shallow application depth this means we can only derive
10 qualitative data at this stage. When applied to the soil surface mixed with soils there
11 is very good potential for use as a tracer for both identifying sediment source areas
12 and estimating total sediment losses. These techniques are very promising and have a
13 great number of potential applications in the areas of soil erosion and diffuse
14 pollution.

15

16 **Acknowledgements**

17 This project was funded as part of the Defra project Mitigation Options for
18 Phosphorus and Sediment. The authors are grateful to M. Nearing for the supply of
19 rare earth element oxides, B. Cookson, B. Allo and J. Wilcock for assistance in the
20 field and laboratory, The Allerton Trust for use of and management of the
21 experimental site, H. Zhang for the use of laboratory facilities and the ICP-MS and
22 the EPSRC Engineering Instrument Pool for the loan of the Malvern Mastersizer S.

23

24 **References**

25

- 1 Blake, W.H., Wallbrink, P.J., Doerr, S.H., Shakesby, R.A., Humphreys, G.S., 2006.
2 Magnetic enhancement in wildfire-affected soil and its potential for sediment-source
3 ascription. *Earth Surf. Processes*, 31: 249-264.
4
- 5 Chambers, B.J., Garwood, T.W.D., Unwin, R.J., 2000. Controlling soil water erosion
6 losses from arable land in England and Wales. *Journal of Environ. Qual.*, 29: 145-150.
7
- 8 Cochrane, T.A., Flanagan, D.C., 2006. Sediment deposition in a simulated rill under
9 shallow flow conditions. *T. ASAE*, 49: 893-903.
10
- 11 Diatloff, E., Asher, C.J., Smith, F.W., 1996. Concentrations of rare earth elements in
12 some Australian soils. *Aust J Soil Res*, 34: 735-747.
13
- 14 Evans, R., 2005. Monitoring water erosion in lowland England and Wales - A
15 personal view of its history and outcomes. *Catena*, 64: 142-161.
16
- 17 Fullen, M.A., 1985. Compaction, soil hydrological process and soil-erosion on loamy
18 sands in east Shropshire, England. *Soil Till. Res.*, 6: 17-29.
19
- 20 Haygarth, P.M., 2005. Linking landscape sources of phosphorus and sediment to
21 ecological impacts in surface waters. *Sci. Total Environ.*, 344: 1-3.
22
- 23 Kimoto, A., Nearing, M.A., Shipitalo, M.J., Polyakov, V.O., 2006b. Multi-year
24 tracking of sediment sources in a small agricultural watershed using rare earth
25 elements. *Earth Surf. Processes*, 31: 1763-1764.

1

2 Kimoto, A., Nearing, M.A., Zhang, X.C., Powell, D.M., 2006a. Applicability of rare
3 earth element oxides as a sediment tracer for coarse-textured soils. *Catena*, 65: 214-
4 221.

5

6 Knaus, R.M., van Gent, D.L., 1989. Accretion and canal impacts in a rapidly
7 subsiding wetland. III. A new soil horizon marker method for measuring recent
8 accretion. *Estuaries*, 12: 269-283.

9

10 Lei, T.W., Zhang, Q.W., Zhao, J., Nearing, M.A., 2006. Tracing sediment dynamics
11 and sources in eroding rills with rare earth elements. *Eur. J. Soil Sci.*, 57: 287-294.

12

13 Matisoff, G.M., Ketterer, M.E., Wilson, C.G., Layman, R., Whiting, P.J., 2001.
14 Transport of rare earth element-tagged soil particles in response to thunderstorm
15 runoff. *Environmental Sci. Technol.*, 35: 3356-3362.

16

17 McGrath, S.P., Cunliffe, C.H., 1985. A simplified method for the extraction of the
18 metals Fe, Zn, Cu, Ni, Cd, Pb, Cr, Co and Mn from soils and sewage sludge. *J. Sci.*
19 *Food Agr.*, 36: 794-798.

20

21 Motha, J.A., Wallbrink, P.J., Hairsine, P.B., Grayson, R.B., 2002. Tracer properties of
22 eroded sediment and source material. *Hydrol. Process*, 16: 1983-2000.

23

24 Polyakov, V.O., Nearing, M.A., 2004. Rare earth element oxides for tracing sediment
25 movement. *Catena*, 55: 255-276.

1

2 Polyakov, V.O., Nearing, M.A., Shipitalo, M.J., 2004. Tracking sediment
3 redistribution in a small watershed: implications for agro-landscape evolution. *Earth*
4 *Surf. Process*, 29: 1275-1291.

5

6 Porbera, G.J., 2006. Caesium-137 as a soil erosion tracer: a review. *Geochronometria*,
7 25: 37-46.

8

9 Quinton, J.N., Catt, J.A., 2004. The effects of minimal tillage and contour cultivation
10 on surface runoff, soil loss and crop yield in the long-term Woburn Soil Erosion
11 Experiment on a sandy soil in England. *Soil Use Manage.*, 20: 343-349.

12

13 Quinton, J.N., Catt, J.A., 2007. Enrichment of Heavy Metals in Sediment Resulting
14 from Soil Erosion on Agricultural Fields. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 41:3495-3500.

15

16 Reed, T., Carpenter, S.R., 2002. Comparisons of P-yield, riparian buffer strips, and
17 land cover in six agricultural watersheds. *Ecosystems*, 5: 568-577.

18

19 Schuller, P., Iroume, A., Walling, D.E., Mancilla, H.B., Castillo, A., Trumper, R.E.,
20 2006. Use of Beryllium-7 to document soil redistribution following forest harvest
21 operations. *J. of Environ. Qual.*, 35: 1756-1763.

22

23 Silgram, M., Jackson, B., Quinton, J., Stevens, C. and Bailey, A., 2007. Can tramline
24 management be an effective tool for mitigating phosphorus and sediment loss? p287-
25 290. In *diffuse phosphorus loss: Risk Assessment, Mitigation Options and Ecological*

1 Effects in River Basins. Proceedings of the 5th International P Transfer Workshop, 3-
2 7th September 2007. Silkeborg Denmark, University of Aarhus, DJF Plant Science
3 130.

4

5 Tian, J., Zhou, P., Liu, P., 1994. REE tracer method for studies on soil erosion. *Int. J.*
6 *Sediment Res.*, 9: 39-46.

7

8 Torri, D., Sfalanga, M., Firenze, G., Chisci, P., 1987. Threshold conditions for
9 incipient rilling. *Catena suppl.* 8:97-105.

10

11 Wei, S., Puling, L., Mingyi, Y., Yzhou, X., 2003. Using REE tracers to measure sheet
12 erosion changing to rill erosion. *J. Rare Earth*, 21: 587-590.

13

14 Withers, P.J.A., Hodgekinson, R.A., Bates, A., Withers, C.M., 2006. Some effects of
15 tramlines on surface runoff, sediment and phosphorus mobilization on an erosion-
16 prone soil. *Soil Use Manage.*, 22: 245-255.

17

18 Xue, Y.Z., Liu, P.L., Yang, M.Y., Ju, T.J., 2004. Study of spatial and temporal
19 processes of soil erosion on sloping land using rare earth elements as tracers. *J. Rare*
20 *Earths*, 22: 707-713.

21

22 Zhang, X.C., Friedrich, J.M., Nearing, M.A., Norton, L.D., 2001. Potential use of Rare
23 Earth Oxides as tracers for soil erosion and aggregation studies. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.*,
24 65: 1508-1515.

25

1 Zhang, G.-h., B.-y. Liu, G.-b. Liu, X.-w. He, and M.A. Nearing. 2003a. Detachment
2 of Undisturbed Soil by Shallow Flow. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.*, 67:713-719.

3

4 Zhang, X.C., M.A. Nearing, V.O. Polyakov, and F. M. 2003b. Using Rare-Earth
5 Oxide Tracers for Studying Soil Erosion Dynamics. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 67:279 -
6 288.

7

8

1 Table 1. Mean concentration (mg kg^{-1}) and enrichment ratio (REO concentration in
 2 eroded sediment divided by REO concentration in soil) of rare earth elements in
 3 eroded sediments in the rainfall simulation experiments (co-efficient of variance for
 4 concentration (%) is shown in parentheses) where the rare earth elements were
 5 applied either mixed in sand or soil (three replicates for each).

6
7

Element	Concentration in eroded sediment (soil) (mg kg^{-1})	Enrichment ratio (soil)	Concentration in eroded sediment (sand) (mg kg^{-1})	Enrichment ratio (sand)
Pr	37.38 (14.2)	3.99	32.50 (49.9)	5.38
Sm	26.85 (18.6)	6.62	36.89 (68.4)	7.28
Nd	142.06 (16.6)	4.53	163.14 (59.0)	6.65
Gd	30.20 (16.7)	5.34	22.03 (56.0)	5.75

8
9
10

1 Table 2. Enrichment ratio of REOs in experiments 1 and 2 (standard deviation is
2 shown in parentheses).

3

Element	Enrichment ratio (Exp. 1)	Enrichment ratio (Exp. 2)
Pr	2.84 (+/-0.17)	1.27 (+/-0.11)
Sm	1.37 (+/-0.14)	1.30 (+/-0.12)
Nd	1.34 (+/-0.15)	1.32 (+/-0.13)
Gd	1.48 (+/-0.14)	1.38 (+/-0.13)

4

5

6

1 Figure 1. Particle size distribution of the REOs and soil taken from the experimental
2 site.

3

4 Figure 2. Plan of field experiments. Experiment 1 (A) used to investigate distance
5 over which soil moves into tramlines from either side of the tramlines and experiment
6 2 (B) to investigate whether sediment collected from the tramline was entering along
7 the whole length of the tramline.

8

9 Figure 3. Graph to show concentration of REOs at different depths in the leaching
10 experiment.

11

12 Figure 4. Mean concentration (mg kg^{-1}) of REOs in eroded sediment from
13 Experiments 1 and 2 and background concentrations in the soil. Error bars show
14 standard deviations for replicate samples ($n=3$).