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Abstract

In order to gain a better understanding of online collaborative conceptual design processes this paper investigates how student designers make use of Lyceum, a shared virtual synchronous environment when engaged in conceptual design. The software enables users to talk to each other and share sketches when they are remotely located. The paper describes a novel methodology for observing and analysing collaborative design processes by adapting the concepts of grounded theory. Rather than concentrating on narrow aspects of the final artefacts, emerging “themes” are generated that provide a broader picture of collaborative design process and context descriptions. Findings on the themes of “grounding – mutual understanding” and “support creativity” complement findings from other research, while important themes associated with “near-synchrony” have not been emphasised in other research. From the study, a series of design recommendations are made for the development of tools to support online computer-supported collaborative work in design using a shared virtual environment.
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Introduction

As technologies for remote synchronous collaboration via the internet become more widely available, it becomes increasingly important to understand how the interfaces of shared virtual environments can support such collaboration effectively. In the field of design, there is much interest in understanding computer-supported collaborative work (CSCW), with particular recent emphasis on how teams can exploit audio conferencing facilities and shared whiteboards. Many researchers have carried out studies on collaborative design protocols (e.g. Cross, 1997; Gabriel and Maher, 1999; Peng, 1994; Schön 1998; Maher et al, 2005). Some have studied the relationship between drawing and dialogue in tackling collaborative design tasks (e.g. Kvan, 1999; Adeoye, 2001; Garner, 1995; Seitamaa-Hakkarainen, 2001), while others have studied argumentation and constructive interaction (e.g. Baker, 1999). This paper describes an attempt to understand online collaborative processes that occur during the “conceptual design” stage. The aim is to build a framework for observing and analysing these processes, so as to inform recommendations for the development of tools to support the learning of collaborative conceptual design.

Hence, the following research questions are raised:

“How do student designers make use of a shared virtual environment in online collaborative conceptual design?”

“What design recommendations can be made for tools to support collaborative design activity?”

Rationale for qualitative design

The research applies a case study approach, adapting grounded theory (Glaser and Strauss, 1967) with the use of qualitative descriptions of conceptual design activities to construct a broad picture of design practice and thus a broader understanding of how student designers make use of tools in their design processes. The rich interaction data includes graphic acts, verbal communications, idea generation expressions and verbal discussions after the tasks. An experimental approach was not appropriate to deal with rich data of multiple variables, which cannot easily be controlled. This study involves detailed qualitative descriptions and explanations of observation of realistic activities, interpretation and design recommendations.

In reviewing existing collaborative design tools, it was felt that Lyceum had the generic audio and visual features relevant to the purpose of this research. Therefore, Lyceum was to be exploited as an instrument for the understanding of design processes in this research. It would facilitate the identification of requirements and the generation of recommendations to support collaborative design activities. It was not intended to evaluate Lyceum or make a case for the value of this tool for collaborative conceptual design activities.

---

1 Lyceum is an in-house software package developed by the Open University to support its students in collaborative learning.
**Data Collection Strategies and Procedures**

The participants were mainly recruited from an Open University Third Level design and innovation course, taught at a distance. These students had a minimum of one year of degree studies. Although this course includes an introduction to Computer-aided design (CAD), the use of CAD tools is not a compulsory part of the course.

For the purpose of broadening the range of student designers, one group of participants was recruited from University College London (UCL): postgraduate architecture students who had at least two years of professional degree training in the field of the built environment. The use of Computer Aided Design (CAD) tools is part of their professional training.

The data were collected at Bartlett Faculty of Environment, School of Architecture University College London (UCL) in June 2004, and Open University Design and Innovation Residential Schools held at Bath University in July/August 2004. Questionnaire data about their experience and background were collected at the beginning of the workshop.

There were twelve sessions in the study, each with groups of 3 or 4 participants located in two separate rooms. Each session was conducted in four parts. The first part was an introduction to CSCW tools including *Lyceum*. The second part consisted of hands-on structured training of 30 minutes using the Lyceum shared whiteboard, text chat and audio conferencing facilities. The participants were located in two adjacent rooms so as to simulate remote collaborative design in a shared virtual environment. Each participant had access to a PC, with headphones and a microphone. Each Tablet PC was installed with *Lyceum* and was connected to the *Lyceum* local server on a wireless network with other Tablet PCs. Figure 1 shows *Lyceum* in a Tablet PC. In the third part, the participants were given a brief to design a wine rack, and used *Lyceum* to collaborate on the task for about 40 minutes. Finally, the designers participated in a discussion of their experience of using the tools, facilitated by the researcher. This formed the semi-structured group interviews.

The data sources include a record of the interactions displayed on the shared whiteboard, audio recordings of dialogues using *Lyceum* facilities, screen captures, and video recordings of the participants.

The data collection was designed to respect the privacy of the participants; therefore their real names were not used at any point of information collection. The data relating to participants has been presented in pseudonyms such as CSCW1, CSCW2, etc. in all verbal and written records. Figure 2 shows a screenshot of *Lyceum* with the pseudonyms shown on the left section of the interface, where student designers sketching at corners in a shared whiteboard.

**Data analysis approach**

An emergent themes analysis approach was used, an adaptation of “grounded theory” (Glaser and Strauss, 1967).

Glaser and Strauss observed that "in discovering theory, one generates conceptual categories or their properties from evidence, then the evidence from which the category emerged is used to illustrate the concept". They see the goal of “Grounded theory” as producing a theory about some form of activity, and they regard it as enabling us to generate concepts about how the activity has been performed. In the case of this research, it is to generate design recommendations of interactive systems to support online conceptual collaborative design activities.
Glaser and Strauss (1967) were critical of research studies that merely verified theories instead of finding out new concepts and hypotheses. The use of grounded theory (Strauss and Corbin 1998) facilitates the interpretation of data from observations and encourages the integration of elements arising from the investigation.

Glaser and Strauss (1967) explained the constant comparative method in four stages:
1. comparing incidents applicable to each category, which can be considered as a general class of ideas in a conceptual scheme
2. integrating categories and their properties
3. delimiting the identified theory
4. writing the theory

The research described here differs from most research using grounded theory in the fact that the activities are set up to be observed, rather than observing the work of designers using a shared virtual environment in their natural setting. The activities were designed to facilitate the discovery of emerging themes in collaborative conceptual design situations towards converging focuses for design recommendations.

Glaser and Strauss also suggest that when applying theoretical sampling, an iterative process of data collection, coding, analysis and planning is required for building grounded theory. As categories emerge from the data, it aims to add to the sample in such a way that diversity is further increased in useful ways. The main purpose is to strengthen the emerging theory by defining the characteristics of the categories. Perhaps how the relationship of category to category is mediated. For this study, theoretical sampling was adapted in a way that new cases were selected to be included as the themes emerged, not iterating in terms of collecting new data, but rather iterating in finding different incidents to support the emerging themes. This approach was along the line of what Willig (2001) refers as an 'abbreviated' approach as compared to the 'full' approach of Glaser and Strauss.

**Data analysis procedure**

Figure 3 shows the Data Analysis framework, adapting an emergent themes analysis approach.

The sequential screen capture files together with their respective audio recording of the twelve collaborative design sessions were viewed in full. The semi-structured group interviews videos were also replayed in the same way. Facilitated by the software program QSR NVivo7, the data were coded in detail into “nodes” representing incidents of design processes that appeared to represent concepts relevant to Human Computer Interaction (HCI) design issues. A node is the container in NVivo for categories and coding. In this study, it represents concepts or abstract ideas which give rise to specific or broad emerging theme.

Another approach was to identify the broad emerging themes from the notes taken when viewing and listening to the audio-visual data, searching for evidence from the specific emerging themes to support the broad emerging themes. A case study exploring ambulance-dispatcher decision making was analysed in a similar way using the emergent themes analysis approach by Wong and Blandford (Wong & Blandford 2002).

**Figure 3 Data Analysis Framework adapting Emergent Themes Analysis Approach**
As the data were coded to identify emergent themes, the connections between the themes were also recorded in conceptual network diagrams (Figure 4), which were updated iteratively during the coding stage. Hence, nodes can represent “incidents” or “themes” depending on how they are connected in the conceptual network diagrams. The nodes which represent “themes” were colour coded so as to distinguish from the nodes which represent “incidents”. The connections between the themes are determined by the relationships between them.

Broad emerging themes are concepts which emerge across the observed and interview data. During the coding, they were identified, indexed and finally organised into conceptual network diagrams. These emerging themes were associated with the broad aspects of design processes which have implications on HCI design issues. The broad emerging themes were identified in several different ways. One of the approaches was to observe whether there are clusters of nodes connecting to the same key nodes. The connections derived from the evidence which suggested relationships between the nodes (categories).

The interconnections between the nodes were identified on the conceptual network diagrams. Some nodes were not connected to any emerging theme; some nodes were connected to one emerging theme; and some nodes were connected to more than one emerging themes. Separate diagrams were drawn for each of the themes that emerged. Figure 5 illustrates a conceptual network diagram for the broad emerging theme of “near-synchronous communication”.

Triangulation

Strauss and Corbin (1998) comment that it is impossible to attain a state of complete objectivity; for every piece of research, both quantitative and qualitative, there is an element of subjectivity, and that researchers should take appropriate measures to minimise its intrusion into their analyses.

To attain a reasonable level of objectivity, triangulation was adopted from varied sources (Knafl and Breitmayer, 1989). The data collected included:

- questionnaires about the participants’ design background, and experience of CSCW, CAD and Tablet PCs;
- sequential screen capture and audio recording of the collaborative sessions; and
- semi-structured group interviews after the tasks.

Erzberger and Kelle (2003) recommend that ‘the use of different methods to investigate a certain domain of social reality can be compared with the examination of a physical object from two different viewpoints or angles. Both viewpoints provide different pictures of this object that might not be useful to validate each other but that might yield a fuller and more complete picture of the phenomenon concerned if brought together’ (p. 461). It is more appropriate in an adapted grounded theory approach to consider the coding of the independent coders as providing further information about phenomena or patterns. In this research, triangulation was regarded as seeking complementary information rather than validation. Two architects, a software developer and a design educationist were requested
to code different sets of samples of the data for triangulation purposes. The objective was
to verify the fundamental logic of the inter-relationship rather than to agree on the actual
categories and concepts.

Design recommendations to support collaborative conceptual design

In this study the participants had little access to private virtual spaces to explore and
experiment with ideas. All computer-based sketch output was made on the whiteboard and
thus it was in the public domain. Verbal feedback and evidence of private work on paper
suggests an important need for supporting private space. Evidence from the data shows that
the collaborative designers improvised their own “private space” by

- sketching at one corner of the whiteboard, as if it were a private sketch pad (Figure
  A2)
- creating a new whiteboard and sketching on it without inviting others to join until
  they are convinced and the ideas are ready for discussion (Other users are not able
to see new whiteboards without being invited to join)
- going to another virtual room and creating a new whiteboard and sketching on it
  without inviting others to until ready
- drawing on a piece of scrap paper to assist private thinking

While ‘think aloud’ and ‘draw aloud’ protocols were successfully used to generate data
there were some long gaps without verbal and graphical communication. As found in other
studies, the workspace tools should support the mechanisms of communication and mediate
interactions between drawing and dialogue and the tools should facilitate designers’
coordinating their communication. Collaborative tools should enable the sharing of a
common orientation and mutual understanding, yet still allow some means of
distinguishing between individuals. The data also revealed that it is important that all
collaborative designers should be allowed to access shared objects, including moving,
editing and grouping them. For an interactive system supporting collaborative design, the
‘presence’ of the participants should be represented in the tools – even where an individual
is not always involved. One apparent example was that three of the participants moved to
another room and continued the conversation there leaving one of them behind in the
original room.

Many earlier studies of CSCW have sought to identify system requirements for the support
of collaborative designing. This study suggests that those engaged in design activity may
benefit from facilities for near-synchronous communication in addition to access to
conventional tools for supporting synchronous and asynchronous communication.

Near-synchronous communication refers to the use of communication tools in real-time
environments where the participants experience or introduce short delays in exchanges. For
example, participants engaged in instant messaging type messages in their own virtual
space before they are sent to a shared text space. There are options for the senders to reflect
on and change the message before sending it – to re-phrase the wording, refrain from
sending, revise the message after reading another participant’s contributions etc. Similarly
with drawn exchanges common in design collaboration; delays are created while sketches
are constructed and considered. Near-synchronous communication presents opportunity

---

2 The think-aloud protocol is a method used to gather data in usability testing in product design and
development. The term here is used in a more generic way as speaking one's thoughts audibly.
for reflection. It allows drawings to be constructed without pressure for explanation from those viewing. It allows ideas to be recomposed. Unlike verbal dialogue the interplay between design participants using sketches to augment communication is slow. There is also a need for interpretation and reflection on outputs before a response can be made. Face-to-face collaborative design reveals considerable use of near-synchronous communication.

For collaborative designers the use of sketching may provide an important means of supporting communication, developing a shared understanding of tasks and problems, sharing conjecture, co-constructing proposals and reflecting on achievements. As Artman & Ramberg et al (2005) confirm there is a vital role for sketching in maintaining collaborative working. Perhaps there may be a significant need for student designers to oscillate between what they call ‘different forms of design contexts’.

Discussion

This research has explored the question of what design recommendations can be made for tools to support online collaborative conceptual design activities through the study of how student designers make use of a shared virtual environment in the activities described. Some of the findings on the themes of “grounding” (mutual understanding) and “supporting collaborative creativity” complement findings from other research. For example, Dillenbourg and Traum (2005) suggest that non-persistent media like speech would not have the persistence. In media which is difficult to independently assess the attention or perception of the other would require much more acknowledgement to reach the same level of grounding. The process to establish common ground and its build-up is called “grounding”. When two or more people are working together in CSCW, they need to have common ground for any kind of process coordination. There is a large amount of shared information and mutual understanding, such as mutual knowledge, mutual belief and mutual assumptions. The emerging theme of the requirement of recording the design process and rationale confirms and complements their proposition. The findings of the research also confirm Clark and Brennan’s (1993) proposition that grounding is essential to communication, and complement their proposition that medium and purpose interact. The findings described here also complement the design principles for tools to support creative thinking as suggested by Resnick et al (2005), especially on the aspects of sketching for creative thinking for remotely located users. An example of a developmental process when new ideas were created built on existing ideas is shown in Figure 6. The concept of private space and public space construed in this research also reinforces the benefits of extending the tabletop into physical space suggested by Rogers et al (2006).

The paper has also outlined a methodology for observing and analysing collaborative conceptual design processes. The methodology is valuable in developing process and context descriptions, and explaining patterns and phenomena. The novelty is that the emerging themes provide a “big picture” of the collaborative conceptual design process and context descriptions, rather than concentrating on narrow aspects of the final artefacts.

There were limitations with respect to the analysis and data. The analyses were carried out on a small sample of design students using a limited range of categories. However, it was felt that extensive analysis of all the dialogues was not appropriate because of the nature of
the data. It was more valuable to consider the issues in wider context to understand the “Big Picture” than to study the dialogues in microscopic depth. The “Big Picture” can be defined as the entire perspective on the collaborative conceptual design process. A thematic analysis of the data was adopted to gain a view of the big picture as revealed in the observed data rather than the minutia of each interaction.

Future Research

The novel methodology provides generation of future research for the development of tools to support collaborative design. Hypotheses of the emergent themes of near-synchronous communication, supporting collaborative creativity and grounding can be generated from the results of the study for further testing and evaluation.
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Figure 1 The use of Lyceum on Tablet PC

Figure 2 Student designers sketching at corners in Lyceum shared whiteboard
Figure 6 A developmental process when new ideas were created built on existing ideas
[1] Replay the screen capture with audio data and the interviews recording

[2] Identify emerging themes \( T(n) \) which relate to issues of HCI requirements supporting CSCW in Design

[3] With \( T(n) \) in mind, select relevant incidents

[4] Repeat a few times as necessary \( T(n, \text{key phrase, timestamp}) \); Transcribe episode if significant to \( T(n) \); Record analyses as “memos” in NVivo7

[5] Select another \( T(n) \)

[6] Organise the \( T(n) \) nodes to develop conceptual network diagrams

[7] Discuss the findings based on the emerging requirement themes

Figure 3 Data Analysis Framework adapting Emergent Themes Analysis Approach
Figure 4 Illustration of “incidents” for the granularity of open coding

Figure 5 Partial conceptual network diagram for the broad emerging theme of near-synchronous communication in QSR NVivo7