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Table 6. New Firms (100% funding): Herfindahl in Mainframe market

Table 8. Herfindahl in Mainframe market (30% funding) (No demand lock-in in MF)

Table 9. Herfindahl in PC market (30% funding) (No demand lock-in in MF)
Exploration SME
Post-Entry SME
Two New Firms
Standard

1 9 17 25 33 41 49 57 65 73 81 89 97 105 113 121 129 137 145
Two New Firms

Table 7. New Firms (100% funding): Herfindahl in PC market

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard</th>
<th>Standard (No lock-in in Mainframe)</th>
<th>New Firms 6TR+20MP</th>
<th>New Firms 6TR+0MP</th>
<th>New Firms 6TR+20MP (No lock-in in MF)</th>
<th>New Firms 6TR+0MP (No lock-in in MF)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Table 8. Herfindahl in Mainframe market (30% funding) (No demand lock-in in MF)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exploration SME</th>
<th>Post-Entry SME</th>
<th>Two New Firms</th>
<th>Standard</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Table 9. Herfindahl in PC market (30% funding)

(No demand lock-in in MF)
e 3. Antitrust: Herfindahl in Mainframe Market (No demand lock-in in Mainframe)