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Table 6. New Firms (100% funding): Herfindahl in Mainframe market

Table 8. Herfindahl in Mainframe market (30% funding) (No demand lock-in in MF)

Table 9. Herfindahl in PC market (30% funding) (No demand lock-in in MF)
Table 2. Antitrust: Herfindahl in PC market

Table 3. Antitrust: Herfindahl in Mainframe market

Table 4. Herfindahl in Mainframe market (30% funding)

Table 5. Herfindahl in PC market (30% funding)
Table 7. New Firms (100% funding): Herfindahl in PC market

| Standard | Standard (No lock-in in Mainframe) | New Firms 6TR+20MP | New Firms 6TR+0MP | New Firms 6TR+20MP (No lock-in in MF) | New Firms 6TR+0MP (No lock-in in MF) |

Table 8. Herfindahl in Mainframe market (30% funding) (No demand lock-in in MF)

Table 9. Herfindahl in PC market (30% funding) (No demand lock-in in MF)
e 3. Antitrust: Herfindahl in Mainframe market (No demand lock-in in Mainframe)