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Table 6. New Firms (100% funding): Herfindahl in Mainframe market

Table 8. Herfindahl in Mainframe market (30% funding) (No demand lock-in in MF)

Table 9. Herfindahl in PC market (30% funding) (No demand lock-in in MF)
Two New Firms

---

**Table 7. New Firms (100% funding): Herfindahl in PC market**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard</th>
<th>Standard (No lock-in in Mainframe)</th>
<th>New Firms 6TR+20MP</th>
<th>New Firms 6TR+0MP</th>
<th>New Firms 6TR+20MP (No lock-in in MF)</th>
<th>New Firms 6TR+0MP (No lock-in in MF)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Table 8. Herfindahl in Mainframe market (30% funding) (No demand lock-in in MF)**

---

**Table 9. Herfindahl in PC market (30% funding)**

(No demand lock-in in MF)
3. Antitrust: Herfindahl in Mainframe Market (After demand lock-in in Mainframe)