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Table 2. Antitrust: Herfindahl in PC market

After 1 year
After 5 years
After 10 years
After 20 years
Standard

Table 3. Antitrust: Herfindahl in Mainframe market (30% funding)

Table 4. Herfindahl in Mainframe market (30% funding)

Table 5. Herfindahl in PC market (30% funding)
Table 7. New Firms (100% funding): Herfindahl in PC market

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard (No lock-in in Mainframe)</th>
<th>New Firms 6TR+20MP</th>
<th>New Firms 6TR+0MP</th>
<th>New Firms 6TR+20MP (No lock-in in MF)</th>
<th>New Firms 6TR+0MP (No lock-in in MF)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5 73 89 105 121 129 137 145</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 8. Herfindahl in Mainframe market (30% funding) (No demand lock-in in MF)

- Exploration SME
- Post-Entry SME
- Two New Firms
- Standard

Table 9. Herfindahl in PC market (30% funding) (No demand lock-in in MF)
3. Antitrust: Herfindahl in Mainframe Market (No demand lock-in in Mainframe)